# Exhibit 43

United States of America ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories,
Inc., et al.,
Civil Action No. 01-12257-PBS

Exhibit to the July 24, 2009, Declaration of George B. Henderson, II
In Support of United States' Common Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment

		Page 1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
DISTRICT OF MASSA	ACHUSETTS	
	X	
IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY	)	
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE	) MDL No. 1456	
LITIGATION	)	
	X	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	) Civil Action:	
State of California, ex rel.	) 01-12258-PBS	
Ven-A-Care v. Abbott	)	
Laboratories, Inc., et al.	)	
	X	
000		
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBI	ER 3, 2008	
000		
VIDEOTAPED DEPOS	SITION OF	
THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	F HEALTH CARE SERVICES	
by J. KEVIN GOROSPI	E, Pharm.D.	
000		
Reported By: CAROL NYGARD DRO		
Registered Merit	t Reporter	

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

 ${\tt www.hendersonlegalservices.com}$ 

				Page 2		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT (	COL	JRT			
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS					
3		X				
4	IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY	)				
5	AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION	)	MDL No	. 1456		
6		X				
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	)	Civil	Action:		
8	United States of America ex rel.	)	01-122	57-PBS		
9	Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys,	)				
10	Inc., et al., v. Abbott	)				
11	Laboratories, Inc., Civil Action	)				
12	No. 06-11337-PBS; United States of )					
13	America ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the )					
14	Florida Keys, Inc., et al., vs.	)				
15	Dey, Inc., et al., Civil Action No.)					
16	05-11084-PBS; United States of	)				
17	America ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the	)				
18	Florida Keys, Inc., et al., v.	)				
19	Boehringer Ingelheim Corp., et al.,	)				
20	Civil Action No. 07-10248-PBS	)				
21		X				
22						

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Page 283

- 1 Manufacturer Price, and then subsequent to that
- <sup>2</sup> Congress passed some additional legislative
- language that delayed the implementation of those
- <sup>4</sup> pieces of the program until October of 2009.
- 5 So the Department is waiting for all of
- that to transpire before we can begin work on the
- <sup>7</sup> AMP-based reimbursement.
- 8 O. Okay. Manufacturers -- do
- manufacturers not report, generally speaking,
- their AMP data directly to California?
- 11 A. Manufacturers are only required to
- report AMP data in relationship to a supplemental
- rebate agreement.
- Q. Okay. And for that AMP data -- does --
- does California recognize any confidentiality
- restrictions on the use of that AMP data?
- $^{17}$  A. Yes, we do.
- Q. How so?
- 19 A. Until such time as the CMS begins
- publishing the AMP -- AMP data as pursuant to the
- federal statute, the Department will hold that
- 22 AMP data as confidential pursuant to federal law.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

Page 284 1 Has the Department always treated AMP 2 data as confidential? Α. Yes. For example, I think in earlier testimony today you discussed a period between 1994 and May of 1996, I think, when there were -there was a supplemental rebate requirement that all manufacturers pay a supplemental rebate of 10 percent of AMP or based upon 10 percent of AMP. 10 During that period to your knowledge 11 did the Department treat the AM -- any AMP 12 information that they may have received during 13 that time as confidential? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And did the Department have any 16 position about whether or not that confidential 17 treatment would prevent the Department from using 18 AMP information for purposes of determining 19 reimbursements paid to pharmacies? 20 MR. BUEKER: Objection as to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 BY MR. HENDERSON:

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com